

USDS SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 09/29/2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GLENN FREEDMAN, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated,  <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p>	:	
	:	Civil Action No. 12-CV-2121
	:	(LAK)
	:	
	:	Oral Argument Requested
v.	:	
	:	<del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER
WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL, LTD, et al.,  <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	:	GRANTING LEAD
	:	PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED
	:	MOTION FOR CLASS
	:	CERTIFICATION
	:	

**Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan, U.S. District Judge**

Court-appointed Lead Plaintiffs Anchorage Police & Fire Retirement System “Anchorage Police & Fire”) and Sacramento City Employees’ Retirement System (“SCERS,” together with Anchorage Police & Fire “Lead Plaintiffs”) have come before the Court upon their motion for class certification pursuant to Rules 23(a), 23(b)(3), and 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and the Court, having considered the papers and argument submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion; and good cause having been shown;

WHEREAS the Class is defined as follows:

All persons and entities that purchased or acquired Weatherford common stock in the United States between March 2, 2011 and July 24, 2012, inclusive, and who were damaged thereby. Excluded from the Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) members of the immediate family of any Defendant; (iii) any person who was an officer or director of Weatherford during the Class Period; (iv) any firm, trust, corporation, officer, or other entity in which any Defendant has or had a controlling interest; (v) Defendants’ directors’ and officers’ liability insurance carriers, and any affiliates or subsidiaries thereof; (vi) the Company’s employee retirement and benefit plan(s); and (vi) the legal representatives, agents, affiliates, heirs, successors-in-interest, or assigns of any such excluded party.

WHEREAS the Court finds, pursuant to Rule 23(a), that: (i) the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (ii) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class; (iii) the claims of Lead Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class; and (iv) Lead Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class;

WHEREAS the Court finds, pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3), that questions of law or fact common to members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy; and

WHEREAS the Court finds, pursuant to Rule 23(g), that Lead Counsel, ~~Lebaton Sucharow LLP~~, will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

[DI 80]  
^

1. Lead Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is **GRANTED** in its entirety;
2. The Class, as defined above, is certified pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3);
3. Lead Plaintiffs are appointed Class Representative of the Class pursuant to Rule 23(a); and
4. Lead Counsel, ~~Lebaton Sucharow LLP~~, is appointed Class Counsel of the Class pursuant to Rule 23(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/29, 2014

  
LEWIS A. KAPLAN, U.S.D.J.